Minutes of the City of Beaconsfield's regular Municipal Council meeting, held at City Hall, 303 Beaconsfield Boulevard, Beaconsfield, Québec, on Monday, June 17, 2024, at 8:00 p.m.

WERE PRESENT:
His Honour the Mayor Georges Bourelle and Councillors Dominique Godin, Martin St-Jean, Robert Mercuri, David Newell, Roger Moss, Peggy Alexopoulos

ALSO PRESENT:
Patrice Boileau, Director General, Nathalie Libersan-Laniel, City Clerk and Director of Public Affairs, Dominique Quirk, Assistant City Clerk

1. OPENING OF MEETING

His Honour the Mayor calls the meeting to order.

2. AGENDA

2.1 Adoption of the agenda of the City of Beaconsfield's regular Council meeting of June 17, 2024

2024-06-212 It is moved by Councillor Roger Moss, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to adopt the agenda of the City of Beaconsfield's regular Council meeting of June 17, 2024, as presented.

5. PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD

The City Clerk announces the beginning of the public question period.

His Honour the Mayor first introduces the Toope Memorial Scholarship, explaining that it was created in memory of Reverend Frank Toope and his wife. The scholarships are offered each year to two outstanding students who contribute to the community. This year, only the Lester B. School Board selected a student as the Marguerite-Bourgeoys school service centre did not identify a qualified student. On behalf of the City, his Honour the Mayor presents the award to the 2024 recipient, Katherine Cardona, a student from St-Thomas High School.

His Honour the Mayor congratulates four Beaconsfield athletes:

- Patrick Hussey, who will represent Canada at the Paris Olympics by swimming as part of the relay team.
- Ophélie Lazure, who is the recipient of the Aléo scholarship for her hockey abilities and for her excellent academic success.
- Christopher Booler, who is also the recipient of the Aléo scholarship for his great diving skills and his excellent academic success.
- Félix Cousineau, who took part in ″Highland Trail 550″, a cycling competition in Scotland. He is the youngest, to date, to have completed the race.

His Honour the Mayor invites PDQ1 Commander François Sauvé to provide updates and information regarding citizen safety in Beaconsfield.

His Honour the Mayor acknowledges that residents are experiencing many power outages in the City of Beaconsfield and explains that there is little the City can do, other than working with Hydro-Québec. His Honour the Mayor then reads a letter sent personally to Mr. Michael Sabia, President and Chief Executive Officer of Hydro-Québec on behalf of Beaconsfield residents.

A resident asks why there is a $500 deposit required for obtaining a tree felling permit without any public consultation? The resident further asks the following questions:

- Is there is an exemption from the $500 deposit since it adds additional costs beyond that of felling the trees themselves?
- Can the deposit be deferred in the event of a felling permit being

issued during late fall or winter months?

- Assuming someone does not replace a felled tree within one year of the permit being issued, will the deposit be refunded once fines are levied?
- Will deposit funds be used to pay for the initial fines?
- Why isn't the deposit refunded at time of the tree being felled given that the permit is fundamentally for felling trees and given that fines are imposed for failing to replace the felled tree?

His Honour the Mayor responds that the $500 deposit is for the replacement of the tree which explains why the reimbursement is not made at the time of felling. Additionally, with the Emerald Ash Borer and the City's mature tree park, there has been a significant loss of canopy in recent years. Not only does this canopy provide ecological services, notably in terms of reducing heat islands and improving air quality, it is also a notable feature of our city. To verify tree replacements requires significant human resources, and in some cases, many interventions. To help meet the demand, the City offers the services of GRAME, a turnkey service for the purchase and planting of trees onsite, and offers an additional subsidy for the purchasing cost.

His Honour the Mayor also explains that tree replacement, which must be carried out within twelve (12) months, is included in the zoning by-law. A public consultation was held on this subject on February 20, 2023. Similarly, the Tarif By-law, which provides for the 500$ deposit was amended in 2022. This by-law does not require consultation. The objective behind the deposit is to preserve the City's canopy. There is no mechanism to be exempted from the deposit. The twelve (12) month deadline for replacement, as stipulated in the by-law, covers the three (3) seasons during which it is possible to plant trees and benefit from the GRAME program. Reimbursement is made once the replacement has been made. If any statements of offence are issued in the process, these must be paid to the municipal court. As these are two (2) different processes and instances, the amounts cannot be combined or deducted from each other. The fine for failing to replace a tree is $200.00 + court costs. The fine for felling a tree without a permit is: $2,500.00 + $500.00 per tree, i.e. a minimum of $3,000.00 + court costs.

A resident indicates her objection to the demolition of 107 Woodland and 185 Lakeview, as well as her objection to the new buildings at 66 St-Louis, 524 Rockhill, and the extension at 79 St-Andrew.

His Honour the Mayor indicates that this opposition will be sent to the demolition committee.

A resident recounts that she replied to an email from the City regarding environmental improvement solutions and has not yet received a response. She asks how the City will protect its citizens from the increasingly pollutant behaviour of neighbours.

His Honour the Mayor explains that the resident’s question was answered during the March Council session and that the recording is available online. As indicated in March, the enforcement is primarily complaint-based. Accordingly, the City has not received any complaints and no infraction has been issued to date. His Honour the Mayor reiterates that, if someone witnesses continuous burning in their neighbourhood, to call Municipal Patrol and provide them with the address. As indicated in March, a permit is required to install a compliant fireplace. Documentation is required to obtain the permit which would show the make and model of fireplace and its location in the home.

A resident expresses his frustration with the grass on the side of a house on Beaurepaire Street which is close to four (4) feet high. Similarly, the resident states that a property on Stonehenge Street is in disorder with a container on the side of the house that has been present for nearly nine (9) months. The resident asks when he can expect the City to remedy these issues.

His Honour the Mayor states that the City is aware of the address on Beaurepaire and is acting in accordance with the nuisance by-law. His Honour the Mayor maintains that the City will keep monitoring the situation and apply the by-law. Regarding the address on Stonehenge,

the property was cleaned last week by the City.

A resident asks for a status update on the speed bumps at the intersection of Montrose and Sherbrooke as speeding on public roads is a concern for many. The resident explains that the roads are shared by pedestrians, cyclists, and cars, but many people are rushed and distracted on the road. The resident is thus inquiring about the status of road-calming measures, such as the speed bumps, requested since August 2023.

His Honour the Mayor replies that a similar request was refused by the Traffic Advisory Committee in June 2023. There is now a raised intersection at the corner of Montrose and Northcliff and therefore the City will not add a speed bump.

The same resident requests an update on the lawsuit against the City of Montreal regarding quotes-parts. The resident expresses her frustrations with the City of Montreal, the issues with commuting into the downtown core, specifically with traffic congestion and parking, as well as untaxed and unlicensed BIXIs.

His Honour the Mayor explains that the resident could have submitted her concerns on bicycles and BIXIs in a brief at the pre-budget Commission hearing. In terms of the lawsuit, the parties are currently analyzing expert reports, and the lawyers will then go to Court to obtain hearing dates.

The resident also asks whether Beaconsfield residents be allowed to park at the West Island REM stations? According to the resident, since there is currently no efficient public transportation in most of the West Island, she would like to be advised of what can be done as a concerned citizen who is being taxed without representation

His Honour the Mayor states that, in terms of the parking question, the resident can refer to the CDPQ Infra. The STM is reviewing its transportation plan to create better access to the REM by transit. The City of Kirkland has stated that its REM parking will be exclusive to Kirkland residents and the City of Montreal has indicated it will not support additional parking. His Honour the Mayor explains that Beaconsfield residents will only be able to access the REM by walking, biking, using limited public transport, or by taxi. Despite this, there will be an increase in taxes on car registration to support public transit. His Honour the Mayor remarks that the City of Montreal controls all the decision taken on public transit and follows an agenda of eliminating as many cars as possible from the road yet does not seem to understand the reality of the West Island.

Another resident asks a question regarding item 20.1 of the Agenda, entitled: ""By-law authorizing a loan of $3,392,077 for the rehabilitation of Centennial jetty, 288 Beaconsfield, Beaconsfield". The resident would like a transparent answer on the following issues:

- The awarding of a mandate by mutual agreement for professional services in landscape architecture of the Centennial jetty;
- The partial supervision to BC2;
- Whether the amount of $98,000, all taxes included (RGC 2024-04), contained in the $3,392,077 includes redevelopment of what used to be a sandy beach?
-

His Honour the Mayor asks Mr. Patrice Boileau to respond. Mr. Boileau responds that, since the project in question is a continuation of that in 2017, it is more beneficial for the City to keep the same consultant. For this reason, the City is proposing to award the contract by mutual agreement, within the limits of the applicable contract rules. The supervision is partial since it is not necessary for the design engineer to be present on a full-time basis since the City has its own inspectors. Finally, the approximate amount of 98 000 $ is not included in the borrowing by-law, it will be financed by the surplus reserve for professional services. The mandate does not include the large sandy area because the intervention area is from the wall to the east, the jetty and the small sandy space to the west.

The question period ends at 8:37 p.m.

10. MINUTES

10.1 Adoption of the minutes of the City of Beaconsfield's regular Council meeting of May 21, 2024

2024-06-213 It is moved by Councillor Martin St-Jean, seconded by Councillor Peggy Alexopoulos and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the minutes of the City of Beaconsfield's regular Council meeting of May 21, 2024, at 8:00 p.m.

10.2 Approval of the minutes of the City of Beaconsfield's special Council meeting of May 27, 2024

2024-06-214 It is moved by Councillor Dominique Godin, seconded by Councillor Martin St-Jean and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the minutes of the City of Beaconsfield's special Council meeting of May 27, 2024, at 8:30 p.m.

20. CONTRACTS

20.1 Awarding of a mandate by mutual agreement for professional services in landscape architecture, Redevelopment of the Centennial Jetty - partial supervision to BC2 for the approximate amount of $98 000, all taxes included (RGC 2024-04)

2024-06-215 CONSIDERING that BC2 obtained the mandat 2017-SP-075 to realize specs and plans for the Centenial Jetty;

CONSIDERING that the project for the redevelopment of the Centennial Pier is in progress since 2017 with BC2;

CONSIDERING that BC2 produced the plans and technical specifications and all the document for the obtention of the certificate of authorisation from MELCCFP and MDDELCC;

CONSIDERING that project 599-23-GC is starting August 5th 2024;

CONSIDERING that BC2 has extensive knowledge of the project through its previous involvement, it is in the best interest of the City to grant the partial supervision mandate to BC2 for continuity of services, in compliance with Contract Management By-law BEAC-151.

It is moved by Councillor Peggy Alexopoulos, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and RESOLVED to award the mandate by mutual agreement to BC2 for the partial supervision for an approximate amount of $98,000, all taxes included. To authorize the Finance and Treasury Department to charge the expense to budget code 22-722-00-711. To provide for the payment of this expenditure, Council transfers the necessary funds from its reserved surplus for professional services.

VOTES IN FAVOUR:
Councillors Dominique Godin, Martin St-Jean, Robert Mercuri, David Newell

VOTES OPPOSED:
Councillors Roger Moss, Peggy Alexopoulos

THE MOTION IS CARRIED. THE MUTUAL AGREEMENT MANDATE FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES IN LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, REDEVELOPMENT OF THE CENTENNIAL JETTY - PARTIAL SUPERVISION TO BC2 FOR THE APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF $98 000, ALL TAXES INCLUDED (RGC 2024-04) IS AWARDED.

MAYOR

CITY CLERK

30. FINANCE AND TREASURY

30.1 Approval of the list of accounts payable as of June 17, 2024, and of the list of pre-authorized payments for the period of May 9, 2024, to May 20, 2024, and for the period of May 22, 2024, to June 5, 2024, for a total disbursement of $17,187,876.23

2024-06-216 CONSIDERING the lists submitted by the Treasurer regarding the payment of expenses for financial and investment activities;

It is moved by Councillor Robert Mercuri, seconded by Councillor Roger Moss and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED:

To approve the list of accounts payable as of June 17, 2024, regarding expenses for financial and investment activities totalling $821,186.07; and

To approve the list of pre-authorized payments from May 9, 2024, to May 20, 2024, and for the period of May 22, 2024, to June 5, 2024, totalling $15,898,463.22 and electronic payments, for the same period, of salaries paid to municipal employees, bank fees and the debt service, totalling $468,226.94; and

That all these disbursements totalling $17,187,876.23 be drawn from the City's bank account at Royal Bank of Canada, Beaconsfield branch.

30.2 Deposit by the Treasurer of the Financial Statement as of December 31, 2023

2024-06-217 Council takes note of the tabling, by the Treasurer, of the Financial Statement for the year ending December 31, 2023.

30.3 Reading and filing of the highlights of the Financial Report for the year 2023 by the Mayor of the City of Beaconsfield

---

2024-06-218 The Mayor presents and files the highlights of the Financial Report for the year 2023, in compliance with section 105.2.2 of the *Cities and Towns Act*;

The Financial Report will be published on the City’s website and in the contact magazine, which is distributed free of charge to every civic address.

40. BY-LAWS

40.1 Filing and notice of motion of Draft By-law BEAC-158 entitled "By-law to exempt the territory of the City of Beaconsfield from the authorization of an accessory dwelling unit"

2024-06-219 Councillor Robert Mercuri files Draft By-law BEAC-158 entitled "By-law to exempt the territory of the City of Beaconsfield from the authorization of an accessory dwelling unit" and gives notice of motion of intent to submit for adoption at a subsequent meeting of Council, said draft, in compliance with the *Cities and Towns Act*.

45. URBAN PLANNING

45.1 Request for the approval of the Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programme (SPAIP) relating to a building permit application for a new main building located at 8 Pilon

---

2024-06-220 CONSIDERING THAT a building permit application was filed for a new main building located at 8 Pilon;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes (SPAIP) indicates objectives and

MAYOR

CITY CLERK

criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the building permit application at the May 29, 2024, meeting and is of the opinion that the applicable objectives and criteria are respected, according to option 1;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the SPAIP relating to a building permit application for a new main building located at 8 Pilon;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the SPAIP relating to a building permit application for a new main building located at 8 Pilon, according to option 1.

45.2 Request for the approval of the Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programme (SPAIP) relating to a building permit application for a new main building located at 66 Saint-Louis

---

2024-06-221 CONSIDERING THAT a building permit application was filed for a new main building located at 66 Saint-Louis;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes (SPAIP) indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents submitted with the building permit application at the May 29, 2024, meeting and is of the opinion that:

- the objective to propose a building with harmonious shapes and volumes is not respected, because the criterion on the architectural treatment of a new building or extension allows a harmonious relationship mitigating a difference in height, volume and number of stories in relationship with the neighbouring buildings or buildings adjacent to another municipality is not fulfilled;

- the objective to propose sustainable, quality architecture is not respected, because the criteria on (1) the building is inspired by the dominant architectural styles on that stretch of street and (2) exterior cladding materials and architectural components match together, in form, texture and colour are not fulfilled;

- the objective to mitigate the impact of garages on the facade of the main building is not respected, because the criterion on a setback, in the form of a notch, is provided between an integrated or attached garage and the entire building facade is not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the SPAIP relating to the building permit application for a new main building located at 66 Saint-Louis;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the SPAIP relating to the building permit application for a new main building located at 66 Saint-Louis.

45.3 Request for the approval of the Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programme (SPAIP) relating to a building permit application for a new main building located at 524 Rockhill

---

2024-06-222 CONSIDERING THAT a building permit application was filed for a new main building located at 524 Rockhill;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes (SPAIP) indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

MAYOR

CITY CLERK

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents submitted with the building permit application at the May 29, 2024, meeting and is of the opinion that:

- the objective to propose a building with harmonious shapes and volumes is not respected, because the criteria on (1) the architectural treatment of a new building or extension allows a harmonious relationship mitigating a difference in height, volume and number of stories in relationship with the neighbouring buildings or buildings adjacent to another municipality and (2) the width of a building must harmonize with neighbouring buildings are not fulfilled;

- the objective to propose sustainable, quality architecture is not respected, because the criterion on the exterior cladding materials and architectural components match together, in form, texture and colour is not fulfilled;

- the objective on the construction project, extension or modification respects the character of the place and the dominant characteristics is not respected, because the criterion the construction or extension project is inspired by the architectural style dominant in the sector and in the identified reference buildings is not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the SPAIP relating to the building permit application for a new main building located at 524 Rockhill;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the SPAIP relating to the building permit application for a new main building located at 524 Rockhill.

45.4 Request for the approval of the Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programme (SPAIP) relating to a building permit application to modify the already approved plan at 18 Lakeshore

---

2024-06-223 CONSIDERING THAT on April 24, 2023, Council adopted resolution 2023-04-323 approving the site planning and architectural integration programme for a building permit application at 18 Lakeshore;

CONSIDERING THAT after the resolution was adopted, an application to modify the approved plan was filed;

CONSIDERING THAT this modification application must respect the applicable objectives and criteria included in By-law BEAC-098 on Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes (SPAIP);

CONSIDERING that the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the May 29, 2024, is of the opinion that the Maibec cladding – Charbon de mer – proposed on May 29, 2024, respects the applicable objectives and criteria included in By-law BEAC-098 on Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes (SPAIP);

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the permit application at the May 29, 2024, meeting and is of the opinion that the objective to propose sustainable, quality architecture is not respected, regarding the proposed veneer stone, because the criterion on the exterior cladding materials and architectural components match together, in form, texture and colour is not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the SPAIP relating to a building permit application to modify the already approved plan at 18 Lakeshore;

WHEREAS Council has taken note of the recommendation of the Planning Advisory Committee;

MAYOR

CITY CLERK

WHEREAS the preamble is an integral part of this resolution;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the SPAIP relating to a building permit application to modify the already approved plan located plans at 18 Lakeshore.

45.5 Request for the approval of the Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programme (SPAIP) relating to a building permit application for the extension of the main building located at 1 Angell

---

2024-06-224 CONSIDERING THAT a building permit application was filed for the extension of a main building located at 1 Angell;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes (SPAIP) indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the building permit application at the May 29, 2024, meeting and is of the opinion that:

- the objective to propose a building with harmonious shapes and volumes is not respected, because the criterion on the width of a building must harmonize with neighbouring buildings is not fulfilled;

- the objective on the construction, modification or extension project respects the character of the area and the dominant characteristics are not resected, because the criterion on the construction or extension project incorporates the dominant volumetry elements in the sector is not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the SPAIP relating to a building permit application for the extension of the main building located at 1 Angell;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the SPAIP relating to a building permit application for the extension of the main building located at 1 Angell.

45.6 Request for the approval of the Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programme (SPAIP) relating to a building permit application for the extension of the main building located at 79 Saint-Andrew

---

2024-06-225 CONSIDERING THAT a building permit application was filed for the extension of a main building located at 79 Saint-Andrew;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes (SPAIP) indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the building permit application at the May 29, 2024, meeting and is of the opinion that:

- the objective to propose a building with harmonious shapes and volumes is not respected, because the criterion on the architectural treatment of a new building or extension allows a harmonious relationship mitigating a difference in height, volume and number of stories in relationship with the neighbouring buildings or buildings adjacent to another municipality is not fulfilled;

- the objective to propose sustainable, quality architecture is not respected, because the criteria on (1) the building is inspired by the dominant architectural styles on that stretch of street and (2) the exterior cladding materials and architectural components match together, in form, texture and colour are not fulfilled

MAYOR

CITY CLERK

- the objective in the case of an extension, respect the architectural features of the main building is not respected, because the criteria on (1) the type and angle of the roof of an extension are similar to those of the building subject to the extension, (2) decorative elements of the main building are repeated in the extension and (3) in the case of the extension of a split-level house or a bungalow, adding volume to the rear is preferred are not fulfilled;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to REFUSE the SPAIP relating to a building permit application for the extension of the main building located at 79 Saint-Andrew;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to REFUSE the SPAIP relating to a building permit application for the extension of the main building located at 79 Saint-Andrew.

45.7 Request for the approval of the Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programme (SPAIP) relating to a building permit application for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 74 Jasper

---

2024-06-226 CONSIDERING THAT a building permit application was filed for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 74 Jasper;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes (SPAIP) indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the building permit application at the May 29, 2024, meeting and is of the opinion that the applicable objectives and criteria are respected;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the SPAIP relating to the building permit application for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 74 Jasper;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the SPAIP relating to the building permit application for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 74 Jasper.

45.8 Request for the approval of the Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programme (SPAIP) relating to a building permit application for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 191 Elm

---

2024-06-227 CONSIDERING THAT a building permit application was filed for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 191 Elm;

CONSIDERING THAT By-law BEAC-098 regarding Site Planning and Architectural Integration Programmes (SPAIP) indicates objectives and criteria to fulfill for this type of permit application;

CONSIDERING THAT the Planning Advisory Committee has considered the plans and documents accompanying the building permit application at the May 29, 2024, meeting and is of the opinion that the applicable objectives and criteria are respected;

CONSIDERING the Planning Advisory Committee's recommendation to APPROVE the SPAIP relating to the building permit application for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 191 Elm;

It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Roger Moss and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to APPROVE the SPAIP relating to the building permit application for the modification of the facade of a main building located at 191 Elm.

MAYOR

CITY CLERK

45.9 Acceptance of an amount of $36,665.00 for park fees to be paid by the owner of lot 2 423 418 (8 Pilon) to the City, according to By-law BEAC-128 concerning contributions for the establishment, maintenance and improvement of parks, playgrounds and natural areas on the City of Beaconsfield's territory

---

2024-06-228 It is moved by Councillor Martin St-Jean, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to ACCEPT the amount of $36,665.00 for park fees to be paid by the owner of lot 2 423 418 (8 Pilon) to the City, according to By-law BEAC-128 concerning contributions for the establishment, maintenance and improvement of parks, playgrounds and natural areas on the City of Beaconsfield's territory, equivalent to 10% of the $386,650.00 standardized municipal value of lot 2 423 418, and to transfer this sum to a fund specially reserved for park fees.

50. HUMAN RESOURCES

50.1 Nomination in view of permanency as Mécanicien to position 5220 at the Public Works Department

---

2024-06-229 It is moved by Councillor Peggy Alexopoulos, seconded by Councillor Martin St-Jean and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that the nomination in view of permanency of Jerffy Luis Monzon Carnero as Mécanicien, be approved;

THAT this nomination be effective as of June 17, 2024, and subject to a probation period of 20 worked days in conformity with paragraph 17.17 of the blue collar employees collective agreement, after which the status of permanent employee will be recognized.

THAT Jerffy Luis Monzon Carnero's recognized seniority date be established as of July 2, 2024, date of his hiring.

53. COMMITTEES

53.1 Minutes of the Planning Advisory Committee meeting of May 29, 2024

2024-06-230 It is moved by Councillor David Newell, seconded by Councillor Dominique Godin and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that Council take act of the minutes of the Planning Advisory Committee meeting of May 29, 2024.

53.2 Minutes of the Environmental Advisory Committee meeting of May 7, 2024

2024-06-231 It is moved by Councillor Roger Moss, seconded by Councillor Robert Mercuri and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the minutes of the Environmental Advisory Committee meeting of May 7, 2024.

53.3 Minutes of the Culture and Leisure Advisory Committee meeting of April 29, 2024

2024-06-232 It is moved by Councillor Dominique Godin, seconded by Councillor Peggy Alexopoulos and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the minutes of the Culture and Leisure Advisory Committee meeting of April 29, 2024.

53.4 Minutes of the Finance Advisory Committee meeting of May 21, 2024

2024-06-233 It is moved by Councillor Robert Mercuri, seconded by Councillor Roger Moss and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the minutes of the Finance Advisory Committee meeting of May 21, 2024.

53.5 Deposit of the minutes of the Demolition Committee meetings starting from January 2024

2024-06-234 Council takes act of the minutes of the Demolition Committee meetings starting from January 2024, the dates are the following:

- 2024-01-09
- 2024-02-13
- 2024-04-16.

53.6 Minutes of the Traffic Advisory Committee meeting of May 17, 2024

2024-06-235 It is moved by Councillor Martin St-Jean, seconded by Councillor David Newell and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to approve the minutes of the Traffic Advisory Committee meeting of May 17, 2024.

60. GENERAL

60.1 Authorization for partial street closure for the swimmers' competition event at the Beaurepaire Swim Club on August 8, 2024, from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m. and that the costs for sanitary services be paid by the City

---

2024-06-236 It is moved by Councillor Peggy Alexopoulos, seconded by Councillor Martin St-Jean and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to authorize the partial closure of Beaurepaire between Rockhill and Lakeview for the swimmers' competition event at the Beaurepaire Swim Club on August 8, 2024, from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m.

60.2 Authorization to request a grant for Celebrate Canada for 2024-2025

2024-06-237 It is moved by Councillor Dominique Godin, seconded by Councillor David Newell and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to authorize the Director, Culture and Leisure, Mélanie Côté or a designated representative to request a grant for the annual Celebrate Canada for 2024-2025 in Beaconsfield.

80. DIRECTORS REPORTS

80.1 Deposit of the Directors reports

2024-06-238 Are received and accepted for information purposes:

Urban Planning Department’s building report for May 2024;
Municipal Patrol’s monthly report for April 2024;
Report on live and deferred viewing of Council meetings via webcast.

90. NEW BUSINESS

Nul.

95. CLOSING OF MEETING

It is moved by Councillor Roger Moss, seconded by Councillor Peggy Alexopoulos and UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED to close the regular meeting at 9:08 p.m.

MAYOR **CITY CLERK**